

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/815,493	04/01/2004	Kenichi Sugiyama	44471/299231	8493	
23370 75	590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			TRINH, MINH N		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, G	A 30309		3729	3729	
			DATE MAILED, 01/24/200	_	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,493	SUGIYAMA, KENICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minh Trinh	3729			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE f this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2004.					
2a)□ ⁻	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
5)□ (6)⊠ (4) Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the local representation is objected to by the local representation is objected to by the local representation is objected to by the local representation in the local representation in the local representation is objected to by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected to by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected to be supported by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local representation is objected by the local representation in the local					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(• •		(PTO 442)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/04.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 8) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/815,493

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples:

It is unclear whether "said segments" (claim 4, line 3 and line 7) is directed to "a plurality of metal segments" as recited in line 2 of claim 4. Please clarify.

"said engaging projections" (claim 4, line 5) lacks proper antecedent basis.

"which will become said segment "(claim 4, line 7) is not positive method limitations.

What is being referring as "cutting said metal base into each segment and art the same time cutting said carbon" is confusing since it is not known if "each segment" is same as one of a plurality of segments of claim 4, line 2.

The limitation recites" removing said mold resin from a contact face between said carbon and a brush" (claim 4, line 14) is unclear and confusing because "a brush" is not part of motor which is not a part of the carbon commutator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3729

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 4 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugiyama (P 410004653).

Sugiyama discloses the method of forming a plane carbon commutator having a plurality of metal segments15 fixed to a commutator body made of resin 3, the method comprising:

- (a) forming peripheral faces of said engaging projections 17P into coarse faces when engaging projections formed on said carbon 17 are inserted into engaging holes of a metal base 15H which become said segments 15 in order to integrally form said carbon which was previously burnt at a high temperature and said metal base (see Figs. 1-5 and the abstract);
- (b) integrally forming said metal base and said carbon and then, coating the entire exposed face of said carbon with mold resin when said engaging projections formed on said carbon are inserted into said engaging holes formed in said metal base (see abstract and Fig.8B, depicts an integrally formed structural, where the mold resin 23 being coated on the carbon and the metal base).
- (c) cutting said metal base 15 into each segment 21 and at the same time, cutting said carbon 17 (see process Figs. 7-8);
- and (d) removing said mold resin from a contact face of the carbon (see abstract lines 10-11).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt

Minh Thinh 1/18/05

Primary Examiner Group 3700